

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Jasbir Singh, S/o Sh Harbans Singh,
Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular,
Distt Patiala.

...Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Patiala.

...Respondent

Complaint Case No. 555 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Jasbir Singh as the Complainant
Sh.Sukhbahal Singh, HC and Sh.Gagandeep Singh, HC for the Respondent

ORDER:

The complainant through RTI application dated 17.05.2019 has sought information on points regarding number of employees working for more than three years alongwith their names and order of Punjab Government and other information concerning the office of SSP Patiala. The complainant was not provided the information after which the complainant filed complaint I the Commission on 18.06.2019.

The respondent present has brought the information and handed over to the complainant before the Commission. The complainant has received the information and is satisfied.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ajitpal Singh,
Room No-408/409, Shakti Sadan,
Communication, T&C Cell, PSPCL,
Jalandhar

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o PSPCL,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer, HRD,
PSPCL, Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2095 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ajitpal Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Satwant Singh, Joint Secretary-Technical, PSPCL Patiala

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 30.01.2019 has sought information regarding charge sheet No.107 dt.17.06.2009 based on revenue loss sheet for 88 illegal AP connection comprising noting files and other information concerning the office of PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. The appellant informed that he has received the information on points-a & b but the PIO has not provided the information on point-c. The respondent has brought the information on point-c but the appellant is not satisfied and stated that the PIO is not providing the noting sheet through which the office has arrived at a formula for calculation of loss amount. The respondent stated that the information is not available with their office.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to look at point-c and respond to this query in an elaborate manner and if the information is not available, to give in writing on an affidavit that the information is not available in your record.

The case is adjourned. To come up for further hearing on **15.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner



Sh Ajitpal Singh,
Room No-408/409, Shakti Sadan,
Communication, T&C Cell, PSPCL,
Jalandhar

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o PSPCL,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer, HRD,
PSPCL, Patiala.

... Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2112 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh. Ajitpal Singh as the Appellant
Sh. Satwant Singh, Joint Secretary-Technical, PSPCL Patiala

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.01.2019 has sought information regarding show cause notice No.116/D-11035/T-1 dt.23.02.2017 based on the charge sheet No.107 dt. 17.06.2009 w.r.t theft of energy for 64 illegal AP connections and other information concerning the office of PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information on points-1(a) & 1(b) was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 15.05.2019 which the appellant sent back to this office stating that the information is irrelevant. The information was again sent to the appellant on 27.06.2019. Regarding point-c, the information was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 05.07.2019 which he sent back to this office stating that the information is irrelevant. The respondent stated that the complete information has again been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 23.10.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission.

The appellant is not satisfied with the information regarding points-1(c), 1(d), 1(e), 2 & 3 and stated that the PIO is not providing the noting sheet through which the office arrived at a formula for calculation of loss amount. The respondent stated their Jalandhar office has already sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 24.10.2019 that the information regarding point-1(c) is not available in their record.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to look at point-1(d), 1(e), 2 & 3 and respond to this query in an elaborate manner and if the information is not available, to give in writing on an affidavit that the information is not available in your record.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



registered post.

Sh Prem Singh,
VPO Amarpura (Bahab Wala),
Tehsil Abohar, Distt Fazilka

Versus

... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2125 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 22.02.2019 has sought information regarding list of notified public services to be rendered by SDM office Abohar, the person responsible for providing the notified public services, action taken against tehsildar for not providing demarcation and other information concerning the office of SDM Abohar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 02.04.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

Since both the parties are absent, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted and the case is the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **13.01.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. Copies of order be sent to both the parties through **registered post**.

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



registered post.

Sh Prem Singh,
VPO Amarpura (Bahab Wala),
Tehsil Abohar, Distt Fazilka

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o BDPO, Abohar,
Distt Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2126 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
None for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 12.03.2019 has sought information regarding statement of account through which undisbursed amount of old age/widow/handicapped pension has been sent to SSSO Fazilka from 01.11.2008 to 31.12.2008 by Pabnchayat Secretary of village Amarpur through BDPO Abohar and other information concerning the office of BDPO Abohar. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 27.04.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

Since both the parties are absent, in the interest of justice, one more opportunity is granted and the case is the case is adjourned.

To come up for further hearing on **13.01.2020 at 11.00 AM** through video conference facility available in the office of Deputy Commissioner, Fazilka. Copies of order be sent to both the parties through **registered post**.

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Harbans Singh,
1323, Sector-34-C,
Chandigarh.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o GMADA,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2128 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Harbans Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.12.2018 has sought information on 6 points regarding action taken on the application dated 26.02.2018 for building violation alongwith report of SDE, report of Anand Parkash(approved structural engineer) and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 23.10.2019. The respondent has also brought some information and handed over to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied and informed that the information is not provided as per the RTI application. The respondent stated that available information has been provided to the appellant and no more document is available in their record.

Having gone through the RTI application and the information provided by the PIO, I find that the information has been provided to the best possible extent.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Tejinder Singh,
R/o village Bholapur, PO Ramgarh,
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SDM, Licensing & Registration Authority,
Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SDM,
Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2137 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Pran Sehgal Data Entry Operator for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.12.2018 has sought information regarding reassignment of non-commercial vehicles alongwith tax deposited from July 2018 to 15.02.2019 and other information concerning the office of SDM Fazilka. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 07.02.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 26.03.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is absent.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, I find that the RTI application has been suitably replied by the PIO. No further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh H.S Hundal,
203, Judicial Court,
Sector-76, Mohali.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o GMADA,
Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o GMADA,
Mohali.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2138 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.H.S.Hundal as the Appellant
Sh.Gulshan Kumar, PIO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.12.2018 has sought information on 5 points regarding plot No.4, sector 70 Mohali where Soul Space Mayfair society has been constructed that was re-allotted to Durga Developments comprising copy of allotment letter, building plan, conveyance deed etc. and other information concerning the office of GMADA Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 23.04.2019 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 14.05.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present pleaded that the information has been provided to the appellant. If the appellant is not satisfied, he may inspect the record and get the relevant information. The appellant has agreed for the inspection. The PIO is directed to allow the appellant to inspect the record by fixing a mutually convenient date and time and provide the relevant information as per the RTI Act.

With the above order, the case is **disposed off and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Bhupinder kumar,
138, Chhoti Baradari,
Part-1, Jalandhar .

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Sr. Operation Construction and Industrial Area,
PSPCL, Phase-8, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer,
A.P.D.R.P, PSPCL- Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2200 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Bhupinder Kumar as the Appellant
Sh.N.S.Rangi, Xen-PSPCL for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 31.10.2018 has sought information on 12 points regarding booth No.193, Phase-10 near Sarao Hotel, Mohali comprising approval of work done as per drawing and specification, building map etc and other information concerning the office of Sr. Operation Construction and Industrial Area, PSPCL, Phase-8, Mohali. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 15.02.2019 after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 20.03.2019 which disposed off the appeal on 08.04.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been provided to the appellant and if there is any discrepancy in the information, they will resolve the same. The appellant has agreed for the same. The PIO is directed to relook at the RTI application and resolve the matter amicably.

To come up for further hearing on **15.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ravinder Singh,
986, Near Dv Hotel,
Main Bazar, Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o ADGP, (Crime Branch),
Pb, Chandigarh

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2202 of 2019

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Balkar Singh, HC, Police Station, South City Moga and Sh.Ram Parsad,
Sr.Assistant, O/o ADGP-Crime for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 04.03.2019 has sought information regarding action taken on the application dated 19.11.2018 filed with ADGP(Crime) Pb Chandigarh for not taking action against the officer police station City Moga for not filing and other information concerning the office of SSP Moga. The appellant was not satisfied with the reply of the PIO dated 29.04.2019 stating that since the enquiry is pending, the information cannot be provided after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 29.04.2019 which took no decision on the appeal.

The respondent present from the office of ADGP(Crime) informed that since the information relates to the office of SSP Moga, the RTI application was transferred to them on 19.04.2019. The respondent present from Police Station, South City Moga informed that the enquiry is still pending and the reply has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 29.04.2019 and again on 18.10.2019. A copy of the reply is submitted to the Commission.

The appellant is absent. Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, I find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied.

No further course of action is required. The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ajitpal Singh,
Room No-408/409, Shakti Sadan,
Communication T7C Cell, PSPCL,
Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o PSPCL,
Patiala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer/HRD,
PSPCL, Patiala.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2204 of 2019

Present: Sh.Ajitpal Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Kamalpreet Singh, Dy. Manager for the Respondent

Order:

The appellant through RTI application dated 28.01.2019 has sought information regarding Benchmark of Ajitpal Singh(Emp ID 105857) of ACR for 2010-11, 2011-12, formula as a regulation/instruction for calculating the benchmarks and other information concerning the office of PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 26.04.2019. Being not satisfied with the reply, the appellant filed 2nd appeal in the Commission on 20.06.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the available information has already been provided to the appellant. The appellant is not satisfied with the information regarding points 3 & 5 stating that the PIO is not providing the noting sheet through which the office has arrived at a formula for calculation of benchmark. The respondent stated there is no such formula available in their record.

Hearing both the parties, the PIO is directed to look at points 3 & 5 and respond to this query in an elaborate manner and if the information is not available, to give in writing on an affidavit that the information is not available in your record.

To come up for further hearing on **15.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: - psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Ajitpal Singh,
Room No-408/409, Shakti Sadan,
Communication T7C Cell, PSPCL,
Patiala.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o PSPCL,
Kapurthala.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Chief Engineer, North Zone,
PSPCL, Jalandhar.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2637 of 2019

PRESENT: Sh.Ajitpal Singh as the Appellant
Davinder Singh, Addl. SE for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 26.12.2018 has sought information on 5 points regarding illegal AP connections w.r.t. charge sheet No.107 dated 17.06.2009 and letter No.2164 dated 22.11.2013 and other information concerning the office of PSPCL Patiala. The appellant was not provided the information after which the appellant filed a first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 18.03.2019 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing appeal, the PIO sent reply to the appellant vide letter dated 24.06.2019. Being not satisfied with the reply of the PIO, the appellant filed 2nd appeal in the Commission on 22.07.2019.

The respondent present pleaded that the available information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 24.06.2019 and a copy of the same is submitted to the Commission. The appellant is not satisfied with the information and stated that the information is not provided as per the RTI application.

Having gone through the RTI application, information provided by the PIO and hearing both the parties, I find that the information has been supplied to the best possible extent and no further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed off and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864114, Email: -psicsic30@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Sadhu Singh, S/o Sh Sajjan Singh,
Village Rurka, P.O Dehlon,
Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO,
Police Station, Dehlon,
Distt Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o SHO,
Police Station, Dehlon,
Distt Ludhiana.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1888 of 2018

Present: **Sh.Sadhu Singh as the Appellant**
 Sh.Kulwant Singh, SHO Dehlon and Sh.Ranjit Singh, ASI for the
 Respondent

Order:

The case was first heard by Sh.S.S.Channy, Chief Information Commissioner on 03.10.2018. The appellant claimed that the information has not been provided by the PIO. The respondent was absent. The PIO was directed to supply the information before the next date of hearing.

ON the next date of hearing which was held on 05.11.2018, both the parties were absent. Sh.Kulwant Singh, PIO Police Station, Dehlon, Ludhiana was issued a show cause notice and directed to send a reply and provide the requisite information, with a copy to the Commission. The case was again heard on 05.12.2018. The appellant was absent. Sh.Ranjit Singh, Head Constable appeared on behalf of the respondent and sought more time to enable them to supply the information. The PIO Sh.Kulwant Singh was again directed to file reply to the show cause notice.

The case was again heard on 05.02.2019. The appellant informed that the PIO has not provided the information. The respondent was absent nor sent any reply to the show cause notice. Sh.Kulwant Singh, PIO was given one more opportunity to supply the information and file reply to the show cause notice. However, in exercise of powers conferred under section 19(8)(b) of the RTI Act, a compensation of Rs.3000/- was awarded to the appellant to be paid by the public authority through a demand draft.

The case was last heard on 12.03.2019. The appellant informed that the PIO neither supplied the information nor paid compensation of Rs.3000/- The PIO was again directed to comply with the order of the Commission failing which action for imposing penalty will be taken ex-parte.

Appeal Case No. 1888 of 2018

The case has come up for hearing today before this bench. Sh.Kulwant Singh, SHO Dehlon and Sh.Ranjit Singh, ASI are present. Sh.Kulwant Singh pleaded that he was not the PIO at the time of filing RTI application and has now been transferred and posted at Fatehgarh Sahib. The respondent further informed that the record being more than 15 years old, is not traceable. The respondent however, has not submitted reply to the show cause notice nor compensation has been paid.

Sh.Kulwant Singh, SHO-Dehlon is given one last opportunity to file reply to the show cause notice. The PIO concerned is directed to pay compensation amount of Rs.3000/- to the appellant through a demand draft and send a compliance report to the Commission.

Regarding the record missing, the Commission does not consider the record missing until an enquiry is conducted and a complete enquiry report is submitted which establishes that the record is missing and the responsibility has been fixed for the person under whose custody the record went missing. The PIO concerned is directed to conduct an enquiry into the matter and submit a detailed enquiry report.

To come up for further hearing on **15.01.2020 at 11.00 AM.**

Chandigarh
Dated 30.10.2019

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner